



Tuesday, November 20, 2025

City Hall, 6th FI Conf Rm

The Board of Directors of the Corpus Christi Aquifer Storage and Recovery Conservation District met in regular session at City of Corpus Christi City Hall, 1201 Leopard St, Corpus Christi, Texas, with the following in attendance:

Board Members:
Dan McGinn, President
Bill Mahaffey, Vice President
Jeff Edmonds, Secretary
Nick Winkelmann, At-Large
Ryan Skrobarczyk, At-Large

Staff: Lisa Aguilar, City Attorney's Office Esteban (Steve) Ramos, General Manager Maria Corona, Administrator Belinda Balderas

- (1) Call Meeting to Order: The meeting was called to order by President Dan McGinn at 2:02 p.m. The roll was called. A quorum was present to conduct the meeting.
- (2) Public Comment
- (3) Review and Approval of minutes of November 04, 2025 Regular Meeting:

<u>ACTION:</u> A motion was made by Nick Winkelmann to approve the minutes of the November 04, 2025, meeting. Jeff Edmonds seconded the motion.

The Vote: All in Favor. The Minutes were Approved

(4) Motion to Approve the Corpus Christi Football Club Foundation's Well Application:

<u>DISCUSSION</u>: To approve the well application submitted by CCFC for a new well for their sports complex located at 5201 Old Brownsville Rd, Corpus Christi, TX.

<u>ACTION:</u> A motion was made by Ryan Skrobarczyk to approve the application. Bill Mahaffey seconded the motion.

The Vote: All in Favor. The Application was Approved

(5) Adjournment:

There being no further business to discuss, a motion was made by Jeff Edmonds to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Ryan Skrobarczyk.

Vote: All in Favor to Adjourn. President Dan McGinn adjourned the meeting at 2:16 pm.

Dear Chairman, Vic Chairman, Secretary, General Manager, and Member,

According rule 2.2, that was last amended on Dec.1, 2016. See below. The 5 board of directors are all appointed by the City Council. The Board elects officers annually and the officers must be confirmed by the City Council. I don't recall the City Council confirming the officers.

Has the City Council confirmed the officers? If so, when? If not, why not?

The Board is comprised of a Chairman, Vice Chairman, Secretary, General Manager, and Member.

It appears a non-board member is currently serving as the General Manager which is not allowed by the rules. Why?

"Rule 2.2 Board Structure and Officers

The District Board of Directors is composed of 5 members initially elected to staggered 2- and 4-year

terms. All directors are appointed by the Corpus Christi City Council. The Board shall elect officers annually

and the officers must be confirmed by the City Council. If a vacancy occurs on the Board, then the Board

may appoint a Director to serve the remainder of the term.

The District's Board of Directors is comprised of a Chairman, Vice Chairman, Secretary, General Manager,

and Member(s). The Board of Directors holds regular meetings at City Hall located at 1201 Leopard Street,

Corpus Christi, Texas on a quarterly basis, unless otherwise posted. All meetings of the District's Board of

Directors are public meetings noticed and held in accordance with all public meeting requirements. The

District Board of Directors meetings are posted in each county along with other items of interest by the District."

On 2017- Feb 2nd Item 6- Mr. Ramos was presented as the new Water Resources Manager to the meeting.

On 2019-June 27th Four Board Members were listed in the minutes. Item 3- The board discussed amendment to sections 2.2 and 3.0 of the Rules and Regulations to be presented in redline format for review and approval at the next meeting. (I can't find a record of this ever happening. I can only assume it didn't happen because the rules were not amended.)

The Board (4 members) passed a motion to make the Water Resources Manager the General Manager of the board. All voted in favor. This meant to me that Mr. Ramos was now the 5th member of the board or the Board did not follow their own rules and elected a General Manager that wasn't a board member.

On 2019- July 11th Special meeting, Item 4- States, Esteban will take over

administrator responsibilities.

After this meeting, meetings were few are far between and not adhering to rule 2.3 (below) that states the Board hold regular meetings at least quarterly.

"Rule 2.3 Meetings

The Board will hold a regular meeting at least quarterly on a day and place that the Board may establish

from time to time by resolution. At the request of the Chairman, or by written request of at least three

members, the Board may hold special meetings. All Board meetings will be held in accordance with the Open Meetings law."

Have the rules been changed and I haven't seen the new ones? Or, are the rules not being followed?

During every meeting since 2006, public comment has been listed as "public comment" without any restrictions until the Nov. 4, 2025 meeting. This meeting restricted public comment to "in person public comment only" even though Webex participants had available audio and video capabilities.

Is this Board not required to follow the same rules as the City Council that appoints its members?

There is not a record in the minutes of the board voting to limit public comment. Who put the restriction on the Nov. 4 agenda, without approval from the board members?

According to conversations with the Texas Attorney General's office, the Board needed to allow each member of the public who desired to address the body to address the body. Reasonable rules can be made of the public including time limits. Limiting public comment to in-person only when some of the public attended by Webex is not a reasonable rule. According to the AG's office this hasn't been done before. It can be taken up in District Court. According to the AG's office an agenda item passed without an opportunity to comment may be voidable. I desired to address the body regarding Item 5 on the agenda for the Nov. 4th, 2025 meeting. I was not allowed to because I attended via Webex. This appeared to be a violation of the Texas Open Meeting Act.

I request the Board of Directors place Item 5 from the Nov. 4th meeting back on the agenda for the January meeting. Then allow all of the public who desire to address the body to address the body. After that, take another vote. This way the vote will not be voidable. This should have been done to start with, but by granting my request and doing it the correct way, it will hold up in District Court. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, John Weber



Public Given the Right to Speak

Tex. Gov't Code § 551.007(b):

address the body regarding an item on an agenda for an open meeting of the body to address the body regarding the item at the meeting before or during "A governmental body shall allow each member of the public who desires to the body's consideration of the item."



Reasonable Rules

- Tex. Gov't Code § 551.007(c):
- "A governmental body may adopt reasonable rules regarding the public's right amount of time that a member of the public may address the body on a given to address the body under this section, including rules that limit the total



What Rules are Reasonable?

- Under the Constitution, rules must be viewpoint neutral and be reasonable in light of the meeting's purpose.
- Current First Amendment precedent would allow rules that restrict speakers to the subject of the meeting, impose time limits on speakers, and prevent disruptions of the meeting.



Consequences

- Section 551.007 does not state consequences for noncompliance.
- An agenda item passed without an opportunity for public comment may be voidable.